Complications during root canal irrigation – literature review and case reports
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Abstract

The literature concerning the aetiology, symptomatology and therapy of complications during root canal irrigation is reviewed. Three cases of inadvertent injection of sodium hypochlorite and hydrogen peroxide beyond the root apex are presented. Clinical symptoms are discussed, as well as preventive and therapeutic considerations.
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Introduction
Root canal irrigation plays an important role in the debridement and disinfection of the root canal system and is an integral part of root canal preparation procedures. The most frequently used irrigants are sodium hypochlorite and hydrogen peroxide, or the combined use of both (Grossman 1981). Their benefits, good tissue dissolving and disinfecting capability, have been demonstrated in several investigations (Senia et al. 1975, Zielke et al. 1976, Byström & Sundqvist 1981, 1983, 1985, Ørstavik & Haapasalo 1989, Jeansson & White 1994, Heling & Chandler 1998). The concentration of the irrigants is still a matter of debate and remains controversial; many authors recommend a 5.25% concentration of sodium hypochlorite (Harrison 1984), others prefer a lower concentration of 3% or even 0.5% (Spangberg et al. 1973, Baumgartner & Cuenin 1992). Hydrogen peroxide is used in a concentration of 3% (Grossman 1981, Harrison 1984).

Sodium hypochlorite has been demonstrated to be an effective agent against a broad spectrum of bacteria and to dissolve vital as well as necrotic tissue (Senia et al. 1975). However, it has been shown also that sodium hypochlorite has toxic effects on vital tissues, resulting in haemolysis, skin ulceration and necrosis (Pashley et al. 1985). It has a pH of approximately 11–12 and causes injury primarily by oxidation of proteins.

In the dental literature several mishaps during root canal irrigation have been described, ranging from damage to the patient’s clothing, splashing the irrigant into the patient’s or operator’s eye, to injection through the apical foramen, or air emphysema and allergic reactions to the irrigant.

Damage to clothing
Probably the most common incidents during root canal irrigation concern damage to the patients’ clothing. As sodium hypochlorite is a common household bleaching agent, even small amounts may cause severe damage. When using an ultrasonic device for root canal irrigation the aerosol may also cause damage. These mishaps should be prevented by proper protection of the patients’ clothing. When using hand irrigation, one should assure that the irrigation needle and syringe are securely attached and will not separate during transfer or irrigation in order to prevent leakage over clothing.

Damage to the eye
Irrigant in contact with the patient’s or operator’s eyes results in immediate pain, profuse watering, intense burning, and erythema. Loss of epithelial cells in the
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immediate severe pain, oedema and trismus developed.
The oedema involved the pterygomandibular space
and the peritonsillar and pharyngeal areas with the
risk of obstruction of the airway. The patient had to
be given an opioid analgesic intravenously for pain
reduction and was admitted to an intensive care unit of
a hospital. Additionally, the patient was set on an anti-
biotic regimen. Following hospitalization for 4 days,
most of the swelling had resolved and after 2 weeks all
complications had resolved completely.

After iatrogenic perforation of the root canal of a lateral
maxillary incisor, a 1% NaOCl solution was injected
beyond the apex (Grob 1984). The patient experienced
‘heavy’ spontaneous pain followed by a rapid swelling of
the left cheek. Eight days later an abscess had developed,
probably due to the spread of infected material from the
root canal into the periapical tissue; this had to be treated
surgically. Large amounts of pus and necrotic tissue
were found. Four years later the patient still reported
hyopnoesthesia and extreme sensitivity to cold temperatures.
Rech & Messer (1989) reported on a case of injection
of sodium hypochlorite (1%) through a midroot perforation
of a maxillary central incisor. The patient experienced
the typical symptoms of immediate severe pain and
swelling, followed by fistulation and erythema extending
to the infraorbital area. Paraesthesia of the floor and ala
of the patient’s nose persisted for more than 15 months.
In a case report presented by Sabala & Powell (1989)
5.25% sodium hypochlorite was injected into the peri-
apical tissues of a left maxillary second premolar. The
patient experienced symptoms of sudden, severe pain
and a swelling rapidly developed, followed by ecchy-
mosis of the skin. Root canal treatment was completed
at the same appointment. To prevent secondary infection,
antibiotics were prescribed and a surgical drainage per-
formed. Nine days later the symptoms had resolved.
Following injection of 5.25% NaOCl during endo-
dontic treatment of a right maxillary central incisor,
Gatot et al. (1991) reported that the patient immediately
experienced severe pain and marked oedema developed
extending from the lip to the right eye. The patient
received hydrocortisone intravenously and penicillin.
Thirty-six h later there was large ecchymosis under the
right orbit and diffuse ecchymosis over the upper lip, as
well as epithelial necrosis. Surgical debridement with
excision of a large amount of necrotic tissue had to
be performed under general anaesthesia. Healing took
more than 2 weeks, leaving a scar on the right cheek
and right infraorbital nerve anaesthesia.
Becking (1991) presented three cases of sodium
hypochlorite injection into the periapical soft tissues. In
the first case NaOCl of unknown concentration was
extruded through the apical foramen of a mandibular
left second molar with a perforation at the cemento-
 enamel junction, resulting in a progressive swelling of
the left side of the mandible extending to the patient’s
neck. After 1 day necrosis of the mucosa and anaesthesia
of the mental nerve was apparent. Under antibiotic
and analgesic therapy, pain and swelling diminished
after 5 days, paraesthesia of the nerve resolved after
10 days, healing of the mucosa took 2 months. In the
second case NaOCl of unknown concentration was

Injection of sodium hypochlorite beyond the apical foramen
Inadvertent injection of sodium hypochlorite beyond the
apical foramen may occur in teeth with wide apical
foramina or when the apical constriction has been destroyed
during root canal preparation or by resorption. Addition-
ally, extreme pressure during irrigation or binding of the
irrigation needle tip in the root canal with no release for the
irrigant to leave the root canal coronally may result
in contact of large volumes of the irrigant to the apical
tissues. If this occurs, the excellent tissue-dissolving capab-
ility of sodium hypochlorite will lead to tissue necrosis.
Several case reports have described the symptomatology
of sodium hypochlorite when injected into the periapical
tissues. After wedging the irrigation needle in the root
canal, 5.25% sodium hypochlorite was forced beyond
the apex of a maxillary right cuspid which led to imme-
diate strong reactions with extreme pain (Becker et al.
1974). Within a few seconds the patient’s cheek and
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of the patient’s nose persisted for more than 15 months.
In a case report presented by Sabala & Powell (1989)
5.25% sodium hypochlorite was injected into the peri-
apical tissues of a left maxillary second premolar. The
patient experienced symptoms of sudden, severe pain
and a swelling rapidly developed, followed by ecchy-
mosis of the skin. Root canal treatment was completed
at the same appointment. To prevent secondary infection,
antibiotics were prescribed and a surgical drainage per-
formed. Nine days later the symptoms had resolved.
Following injection of 5.25% NaOCl during endo-
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extending from the lip to the right eye. The patient
received hydrocortisone intravenously and penicillin.
Thirty-six h later there was large ecchymosis under the
right orbit and diffuse ecchymosis over the upper lip, as
well as epithelial necrosis. Surgical debridement with
excision of a large amount of necrotic tissue had to
be performed under general anaesthesia. Healing took
more than 2 weeks, leaving a scar on the right cheek
and right infraorbital nerve anaesthesia.
Becking (1991) presented three cases of sodium
hypochlorite injection into the periapical soft tissues. In
the first case NaOCl of unknown concentration was
extruded through the apical foramen of a mandibular
left second molar with a perforation at the cemento-
enamel junction, resulting in a progressive swelling of
the left side of the mandible extending to the patient’s
neck. After 1 day necrosis of the mucosa and anaesthesia
of the mental nerve was apparent. Under antibiotic
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10 days, healing of the mucosa took 2 months. In the
second case NaOCl of unknown concentration was
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injected into the periapical tissues of a left maxillary second molar, causing irritation behind and below the patient’s left eye and severe pain in the left cheek, eye, and temporal region. Additionally, the patient reported a chlorine taste and irritation of the throat. It was presumed that the irrigant had been pressed into the maxillary sinus. In this case no antibiotics were given, only analgesics; the symptoms resolved completely within 2 weeks.

In the third case apical overextrusion of NaOCl occurred during root canal preparation of a mandibular left second premolar, resulting in severe pain, swelling, and anaesthesia of the mental nerve. Again, no antibiotics were prescribed initially. Four days later necrosis and infection became evident and antibiotic therapy was initiated. Resolution of pain and swelling took 1 month, anaesthesia changed into hyper-anaesthesia, which slowly resolved.

Following inadvertent injection of 5.25% NaOCl via the palatal root canal of a maxillary first molar into the maxillary sinus, Ehrich et al. (1993) reported that the patient only complained on a taste of sodium hypochlorite but no further symptoms developed. The root canal and the sinus were irrigated with sterile water through the palatal root canal. The water passed through the maxillary sinus into the nasal cavity and then into the pharynx. The patient was prescribed antibiotics and was asymptomatic 4 days later.

A similar case was presented by Kavanagh & Taylor (1998). During endodontic treatment of a right second maxillary premolar, sodium hypochlorite of unknown concentration was injected beyond the apex. The patient experienced acute severe facial pain and swelling. Occipito-mental radiographs demonstrated an air-fluid level in the right maxillary sinus. The antrum was drained surgically under general anaesthesia. Three weeks later most of the symptoms had resolved and only the premolar presented with localized discomfort; this led to the decision to extract the tooth. Further healing was uneventful and complete.

Further cases with similar symptoms have been presented by Tronstad (1991), Joffe (1991), Torabinejad & Lemon (1996), and Hülsmann & Denden (1997).

The main symptoms and treatment considerations in cases of periapical sodium hypochlorite injection are summarized in Table 1a,b.

### Table 1a Symptomatology and therapy after inadvertent injection of NaOCl into the periapical tissues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptomatology</th>
<th>Therapy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Immediate severe pain</td>
<td>Patient information on cause and severity of complication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immediate oedema of neighbouring soft tissues</td>
<td>Pain control: local anaesthesia, analgesics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possible extension of oedema over the injured half side of the face, upper lip, infraorbital region</td>
<td>In severe cases: referral to a hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profuse bleeding from the root canal</td>
<td>Extraoral cold compresses for reduction of swelling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profuse interstitial bleeding with haemorrhage of the skin and mucosa (ecchymosis)</td>
<td>After 1 day: warm compresses and frequent warm mouthrinses for stimulation of local systemic circulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorine taste and irritation of the throat after injection into the maxillary sinus</td>
<td>Daily recall for control of recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary infection possible</td>
<td>Antihistamine: not obligatory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reversible anaesthesia or paraesthesia possible</td>
<td>Corticosteroids: controversial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Further endodontic therapy with sterile saline or chlorhexidine as root canal irrigants</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Allergic reactions to NaOCl

Kaufman & Keila (1989) reported a case of hypersensitivity to sodium hypochlorite. As this reaction was detected before initiation of endodontic therapy, the patient was referred to an allergist. Following a skin patch test, the allergist diagnosed a hypersensitivity to household materials containing NaOCl and recommended not to use NaOCl during root canal treatment. Thus, the root canals were irrigated with Solvident (DeTrey/Dentsply, Konstanz, Germany) and the procedure

---

**Table 1a** Symptomatology and therapy after inadvertent injection of NaOCl into the periapical tissues

**Table 1b** Symptomatology and therapy after inadvertent injection of NaOCl into the periapical tissues

**Therapy**

- Patient information on cause and severity of complication
- Pain control: local anaesthesia, analgesics
- In severe cases: referral to a hospital
- Extraoral cold compresses for reduction of swelling
- After 1 day: warm compresses and frequent warm mouthrinses for stimulation of local systemic circulation
- Daily recall for control of recovery
- Antibiotics: not obligatory. Only in cases of high risk or evidence of secondary infection
- Antihistamine: not obligatory
- Corticosteroids: controversial
- Further endodontic therapy with sterile saline or chlorhexidine as root canal irrigants
was uneventful. In a second case (Caliskan et al. 1994) sodium hypochlorite (1%) was used for irrigation of a maxillary central incisor with midroot horizontal fracture. The patient immediately reported severe pain and a burning sensation, within a few s the upper lip and cheek up to the infraorbital area became swollen, accompanied by ecchymosis and profuse haemorrhage from the root canal. Pain diminished after a few min but the patient complained about problems in breathing and was referred to an emergency care unit. Systemic corticosteroid and antihistamine were administered intravenously and antibiotics were prescribed. Swelling resolved after 3 days, but a paraesthesia on the left side of the face remained for 10 days. Further endodontic therapy was performed with hydrogen peroxide and sterile saline and was uneventful. A skin scratch test was performed some days after the incident and gave a very rapid positive allergic reaction.

Injection of hydrogen peroxide beyond the apex

As a result of insufficient access and a lateral root perforation of a right maxillary central incisor, Bhat (1974) reported that hydrogen peroxide of unknown concentration was injected into the soft tissues. As treatment was performed under local anaesthesia, the patient experienced no pain but complained about a rapidly developing swelling on the upper lip and some difficulty in breathing. The canal was left open, the patient was prescribed antibiotics and instructed to apply cold packs. The emphysema, caused by oxygen liberated from the hydrogen peroxide, subsided in 1 week and root canal treatment was completed.

Walker (1975) presented a case of inadvertent extrusion of 40% hydrogen peroxide through the root canals of a maxillary first molar. A sudden swelling appeared accompanied by mild pain. Examination of the swelling revealed a mildly tender swelling with crepitus. It is probable that a previous infection of the periapical area had provided a pathway for the hydrogen peroxide through the buccal bone to the buccal and facial soft tissues. Under antibiotic therapy the symptoms resolved completely after a few days.

Air emphysema

Further accidents during endodontic therapy may occur when the root canal is dried with compressed air, which may be expressed through the apical constriction into the periapical tissues. Shovelson (1957) presented 13 cases that had signs of emphysema of the face, the suborbital region, and neck. The main symptom is a crepitus of the swelling. In most cases emphysema during root canal treatment does not require antibiotics or any other therapy; the emphysema in most cases resolves after few days (Figs 1, 2).

Additional reports on air emphysema during endodontic treatment have been published by Nehlsen (1927).
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Berg (1928), Müller-Stade (1931), Salvas (1931), Seidner (1938), Langegger (1950), Glahn (1953), Magnin (1958), Harnisch (1976), Spaulding (1979), Arnold (1979), and Hülsmann & Denden (1997). In an animal study the possibility could not be completely excluded by Rickles & Joshi (1963), that air emphysema during root canal therapy was the reason for the death of four of seven dogs. Similar dramatic sequelae following air emphysema after root canal treatment have not been reported in man.

Case reports

Case 1
During root canal treatment of a left maxillary cuspid in a 55-year-old male patient 3%-sodium hypochlorite was forced through the apical foramen, which had been artificially widened due to incorrect determination of endodontic working length. The patient experienced a sudden acute pain attack in the left maxillary region accompanied by an increasing oedema and ecchymosis of the left cheek (Fig. 3) and profuse bleeding from the root canal. The patient was given an infiltration anaesthesia, prescribed analgesics and was advised to use cold compresses; the root canal was left open for drainage. The following day the swelling had increased but pain had resolved nearly completely. Intraorally massive ecchymosis was observed (Fig. 4). One week later all symptoms had resolved without additional therapy and root canal treatment was continued.

Case 2
During endodontic treatment of a right mandibular cuspid the irrigation needle inadvertently was wedged through a perforation between the crown and the root and approximately 1 mL NaOCl (3%) and H$_2$O$_2$ (5%) were injected into the adjacent tissues. The patient complained of an extremely severe, burning pain in his lower lip. Immediate massive swelling of the lower lip occurred (Fig. 5). The pain rapidly resolved but...
the swelling persisted. Additionally, the patient felt a mild paraesthesia in a circumscribed region of the lower lip. One week after the incident an ulcer was observed in the lower lip (Fig. 6). Swelling persisted for 3 weeks and only resolved slowly; the ulcer healed with minor scar tissue formation after 2 months. More than 1 year later a mild paraesthesia of a circumscribed region of the lip was still reported by the patient.

Case 3

During endodontic treatment of the right maxillary first bicuspid hydrogen peroxide (3%) was inadvertently extruded beyond the apex. The patient felt a sudden sharp pain and immediate swelling of the right side of the face occurred. Profuse watering of the right eye and pressure in the right side of the cheek were also reported by the patient (Fig. 7). The pain diminished after a few min and only a
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mild pressure in the cheek region was felt by the patient over the next 5 days. Palpation of the right cheek during this period produced the sign of crepitus. Healing occurred without any further medication and was uneventful.

Discussion

Although a number of different solutions have been proposed for root canal irrigation, sodium hypochlorite in various concentrations (0.5–5.25%) is the most frequently used irrigant (Grossman 1981, Harrison 1984). This is mainly due to its tissue-dissolving capacity as well as to its antibacterial properties. Hydrogen peroxide also has been widely used for irrigation of the root canal system, although it is less effective in killing microorganisms (Senia et al. 1975).

The endodontic literature contains several case reports on complications during root canal irrigation, including inadvertent injection of sodium hypochlorite or hydrogen peroxide into the periapical tissues, air emphysema, and allergic reactions to the solutions. Most of the cases occurred because of incorrect determination of endodontic working length, iatrogenic widening of the apical foramen, lateral perforation, or wedging of the irrigating needle. Clearly, precautions must be undertaken to prevent such mishaps. The patient’s clothing should be protected effectively against the irrigant, as well as the patient’s and operator’s eyes. The irrigating needle has to be fixed to the syringe and not be wedged into the root canal (Hülsmann 1997). During irrigation a low and constant pressure must be used and the operator must ensure that excess irrigant leaves the root canal coronally via the access cavity. However, it has been shown that contact between the periapical tissues and the irrigant cannot be avoided completely (VandeVisse & Brilliant 1975, Brown et al. 1975). Therefore, a dilute concentration of the irrigant that still retains adequate disinfective properties is recommended. In a concentration of 0.5%, NaOCl is nontoxic to vital tissues and immediately washes away by the circulating blood (Spangberg et al. 1973, Baumgartner 1992). On the other hand, Harrison et al. (1983) demonstrated that the use of 5.25% sodium hypochlorite alone or in combination with 3% hydrogen peroxide did not result in an increased incidence of interappointment pain.

In the reported cases of extrusion of irrigant the patient experienced a sharp, severe pain and a rapidly increasing swelling. The dentist should remain calm and assist the patient, who will inevitably become concerned about the dramatic sequelae. The dentist should immediately inform the patient of the cause and nature of the incident. No standard therapy for further management of the complication has been described. Any intervention depends on the nature and severity of the incident. In many cases no intervention or only a minimal amount is necessary. To reduce the acute pain, local anaesthesia may be helpful along with the prescription of analgesics. Initially, the swelling should be treated by cold compresses. After 1 day these should be replaced by warm compresses and warm mouthrinses to stimulate local microcirculation. Antibiotics are recommended only in cases where there is a high risk of infection spread: they are not necessary in minor cases. The patient should be informed that healing will take some days, or even weeks, and that symptoms in most cases will resolve completely. When the acute symptoms have resolved or diminished, endodontic treatment may be completed. The use of a mild nonirritating irrigation solution (sterile saline, chlorhexidine gluconate) is recommended in such cases. In the majority of cases there is no need or indication for extraction or surgical treatment of the involved tooth.
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